



Matters Impacting Metropolitan

Friends of the River, et al. v. Sites Project Authority, et al. **(Yolo County Superior Court)**

On May 31, 2024, Judge Samuel T. McAdam of the Yolo County Superior Court issued a ruling on the merits upholding the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Sites Reservoir Project and denying the plaintiffs' petition for a writ of mandate based on multiple alleged violations of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The case was filed in December 2023 by six environmental organizations, Friends of the River,

Center for Biological Diversity, California Sportfishing Protection Alliance, California Water Impact Network, Save California Salmon, and Sierra Club. It was litigated on an expedited basis under last year's SB 149 budget trailer bill, which requires that for critical infrastructure projects certified by the governor as eligible, CEQA litigation through any appeal be completed within 270 days, if feasible. If appealed, which appears likely, the appeal will proceed on an expedited basis as well, with a final judgment on appeal issuing as early as September 2024.

Other Matters

Miscellaneous

On May 1, 2024, Metropolitan issued \$35,565,000 of Tax-Exempt Flexible Rate Revolving Notes, Series 2024 A-4. The Notes were issued to defease the outstanding Subordinate Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2017 Series B. Legal Department staff attorneys worked with and assisted outside bond counsel with the drafting and negotiation of several contracts and closing certificates.

On May 6, 2024, Metropolitan issued \$367,005,000 of Water Revenue Refunding

Bonds, 2024 Series A (Bonds). The Bonds were issued to refund and redeem all of Metropolitan's \$271,815,000 outstanding principal amount of Special Variable Rate Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2020 Series B and \$155,565,000 of Metropolitan's senior lien short-term notes evidencing draws made under its Short-Term Revolving Credit Facility. Legal Department staff attorneys worked with Finance, Engineering and Water Resources staff to prepare the official statement used to market the Bonds and assisted outside bond and disclosure counsel with the drafting and negotiation of several contracts and closing certificates.

Matters Concluded and/or Terminated

Hearing Officer Appeal Matter - Grievance

In this appeal, Local 1902 alleged that its member was entitled to backpay for missed COLA adjustments. MWD disputed the assertion and the matter proceeded through the grievance and hearing officer appeal process. Prior to the

hearing, the parties engaged in extensive negotiations and a resolution was reached where the impacted employee would receive \$4,006 in adjusted backpay, subject to applicable payroll withholding. In exchange, Local 1902 agreed to withdraw the appeal.



Matters Received

<u>Category</u>	<u>Received</u>	<u>Description</u>	
Action in which MWD is a party	1	Complaint for Damages for: (1) Breach of Contract; (2) Indebitatus Assumpsit; (3) Accounts Stated; (4) Payment on Bond; (5) Enforcement of Lien (Stop Notice), filed in Riverside County Superior Court, in the case <i>R&J Sheet Metal, Inc. v. Pyramid Building & Engineering, Inc.</i> , MWD, Case No. CVMW2403580, relating to Plaintiff R&J Sheet Metal providing labor and materials for the installation of cut and fabricated sheet-metal components for the Electrical Upgrade Phase 2 at the Mills Water Treatment Plant	
Government Code Claims	4	Claims described as follows: (1) black electrical tape flew off of MWD truck striking Claimant's vehicle; (2) business operations impacted when MWD vehicle blocked the Claimant's driveway; (3) motor vehicle accident involving an MWD vehicle; and (4) employment claim asserting retaliation, harassment, discrimination, failure to prevent harassment, discrimination and retaliation, defamation, battery, false imprisonment, negligent supervision, and intentional infliction of emotional distress	
Requests Pursuant to the Public Records Act	12	<u>Requestor</u>	<u>Documents Requested</u>
		Brayton Purcell, LLP	Insurance coverage information for the contractors, including F. E Young Construction and Scott Co., who constructed the Diemer Filtration Plant that was completed in 1964
		Capitol City Research	All expenses incurred by Director Juan Garza since he became a member of the MWD Board
		Evergreen Solutions	Current list of job titles and compensation range for each job title
		Langan	As-built drawings for any MWD pipelines along West Cienega Avenue in the city of San Dimas
		Mazel Equities National Associates	List of unclaimed or outstanding checks and unclaimed bonds
		PCL Construction	Proposals submitted in response to Request for Qualifications for Progressive Design-Build Services for Sepulveda Feeder Pump Stations Project
		Private Citizen	Salary schedules effective as of June 2018, June 2019, June 2020, June 2021, and June 2022
		Pro Engineering Consulting,	Information on water pressure at a property in the city of Brea



<u>Requestor</u>	<u>Documents Requested</u>
Sierra Club	Population forecasts used for the 2020 IRP Regional Needs Assessment
SmartProcure	Purchase order data including purchase order number, purchase order date, line item details, line item quantity, line item price, vendor information from 02/09/2024 to current
Stetson Engineers (2 requests)	(1) Watershed Sanitary Surveys for the Colorado River Watershed and State Water Project; and (2) 2002 Source Water Assessment



PLEASE NOTE

- ADDITIONS ONLY IN THE FOLLOWING TWO TABLES WILL BE SHOWN IN RED.
- ANY CHANGE TO THE *OUTSIDE COUNSEL AGREEMENTS* TABLE WILL BE SHOWN IN REDLINE FORM (I.E., ADDITIONS, REVISIONS, DELETIONS).



Bay-Delta and SWP Litigation	
Subject	Status
<p>Delta Conveyance Project CEQA Cases</p> <p><i>City of Stockton v. California Department of Water Resources</i></p> <p><i>County of Butte v. California Department of Water Resources</i></p> <p><i>County of Sacramento v. California Department of Water Resources</i></p> <p><i>County of San Joaquin et al. v. California Department of Water Resources</i></p> <p><i>Sacramento Area Sewer District v. California Department of Water Resources</i></p> <p><i>San Francisco Baykeeper, et al. v. California Department of Water Resources</i></p> <p><i>Sierra Club, et al. v. California Department of Water Resources</i></p> <p><i>South Delta Water Agency and Rudy Mussi Investment L.P. v. California Department of Water Resources</i></p> <p><i>Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District v. California Department of Water Resources</i></p> <p>Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Acquisto)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DWR is the only named respondent/defendant • All alleged CEQA violations • Most allege violations of the Delta Reform Act, Public Trust Doctrine and Delta and Watershed Protection Acts • Two allege violations of the fully protected bird statute • One alleges violations of Proposition 9 (1982) and the Central Valley Project Act • Second case management conference and hearing on motion for preliminary injunction re geotechnical work <u>held set for</u> May 31, 2024 • Deadline for DWR to prepare the administrative record extended to June <u>14Sept. 30</u>, 2024 • <u>Next case management conference Oct. 18, 2024</u> • <u>Ruling on motions for preliminary injunction re geotechnical work TBD</u>
<p>Delta Conveyance Project Water Right Permit Litigation</p> <p><i>Central Delta Water Agency et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board</i></p> <p>Fresno County Superior Court (Judge TBD<u>Whalen</u>)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Complaint filed April 16, 2024, alleges that the State Water Board must rule on DWR's 2009 petition to extend the time to perfect its State Water Project rights before the State Water Board may begin to adjudicate DWR's petition to change its water rights to add new points of diversion for the Delta Conveyance Project</u> • <u>July 17, 2024 hearing date for State Water Resources Control Board demurrer (motion to dismiss)</u>



Subject	Status
<p>Consolidated DCP Revenue Bond Validation Action and CEQA Case</p> <p><i>Sierra Club, et al. v. California Department of Water Resources</i> (CEQA, designated as lead case)</p> <p><i>DWR v. All Persons Interested</i> (Validation)</p> <p>Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Kenneth C. Mennemeier)</p> <p>3d District Court of Appeal Case No. C100552</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Validation Action • Final Judgment and Final Statement of Decision issued January 16, 2024 ruling the bonds are not valid • DWR, Metropolitan and other supporting public water agencies filed Notices of Appeal on or before the February 16, 2024 deadline • Eight opposing groups filed Notices of Cross Appeals by March 27, 2024 • April 16, 2024 DWR moved to dismiss the cross appeals as untimely • Motion to dismiss cross appeals denied without prejudice to renewing the motion in merits briefing May 1, 2024 deadline to file oppositions to motion to dismiss cross appeals • <u>Parties meeting and conferring on briefing schedule</u>
<p>SWP-CVP 2019 BiOp Cases</p> <p><i>Pacific Coast Fed'n of Fishermen's Ass'ns, et al. v. Raimondo, et al.</i> (PCFFA)</p> <p><i>Calif. Natural Resources Agency, et al. v. Raimondo, et al.</i> (CNRA)</p> <p>Federal District Court, Eastern Dist. of California, Fresno Division (Judge Thurston)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SWC intervened in both <i>PCFFA</i> and <i>CNRA</i> cases • Federal defendants reinitiated consultation on Oct 1, 2021 • March 28, 2024 order extending the Interim Operations Plan and the stay of the cases through the issuance of a new Record of Decision or December 20, 2024, whichever is first
<p>CESA Incidental Take Permit Cases</p> <p>Coordinated Case Name <i>CDWR Water Operations Cases, JCCP 5117</i> (Coordination Trial Judge Gevercer)</p> <p><i>Metropolitan & Mojave Water Agency v. Calif. Dept. of Fish & Wildlife, et al.</i> (CESA/CEQA/Breach of Contract)</p> <p><i>State Water Contractors & Kern County Water Agency v. Calif. Dept. of Fish & Wildlife, et al.</i> (CESA/CEQA)</p> <p><i>Tehama-Colusa Canal Auth., et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources</i> (CEQA)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative records certified in October 2023 • Parties are conferring on <u>stipulation to delay setting a merits briefing schedule by 90 days and extending the time to bring the action to trial by six months</u>



Subject	Status
<p><i>San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water Dist. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources, et al.</i> (CEQA/CESA/ Breach of Contract/Takings)</p> <p><i>Sierra Club, et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources</i> (CEQA/Delta Reform Act/Public Trust)</p> <p><i>North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources</i> (CEQA/Delta Reform Act/Public Trust)</p> <p><i>Central Delta Water Agency, et. al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources</i> (CEQA/Delta Reform Act/Public Trust/ Delta Protection Acts/Area of Origin)</p> <p><i>San Francisco Baykeeper, et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources, et al.</i> (CEQA/CESA)</p>	
<p>CDWR Environmental Impact Cases Sacramento Superior Ct. Case No. JCCP 4942, 3d DCA Case No. C100302 (20 Coordinated Cases)</p> <p>Validation Action <i>DWR v. All Persons Interested</i></p> <p>CEQA 17 cases</p> <p>CESA/Incidental Take Permit 2 cases</p> <p>(Judge Arguelles)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cases dismissed after DWR rescinded project approval, bond resolutions, decertified the EIR, and CDFW rescinded the CESA incidental take permit • January 10, 2020 – Nine motions for attorneys’ fees and costs denied in their entirety • May 11, 2022, court of appeal reversed the trial court’s denial of attorney fees and costs • Coordinated cases remitted to trial court for re-hearing of fee motions consistent with the court of appeal’s opinion • Dec. 26, 2023 order denying fee motions • Six notices of appeal filed
<p>COA Addendum/ No-Harm Agreement</p> <p><i>North Coast Rivers Alliance v. DWR</i> Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Rockwell)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plaintiffs allege violations of CEQA, Delta Reform Act & public trust doctrine • Westlands Water District and North Delta Water Agency granted leave to intervene • Metropolitan & SWC monitoring • Deadline to prepare administrative record last extended to Nov. 18, 2022
<p>Water Management Tools Contract Amendment</p> <p><i>California Water Impact Network et al. v. DWR</i> Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Aquisto)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Filed September 28, 2020 • CWIN and Aqualliance allege one cause of action for violation of CEQA • NCRA et al. allege four causes of action for violations of CEQA, the Delta Reform Act,



Subject	Status
<p><i>North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. DWR</i> Sacramento County Super. Ct. (Judge Aquisto)</p>	<p>Public Trust Doctrine and seeking declaratory relief</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• SWC motion to intervene in both cases granted• Dec. 20, 2022 DWR filed notice of certification of the administrative record and filed answers in both cases



<i>San Diego County Water Authority v. Metropolitan, et al.</i>		
Cases	Date	Status
2014, 2016	Sept. 30	Based on the Court of Appeal’s Sept. 21 opinion (described above), and the Board’s Sept. 28 authorization, Metropolitan paid \$35,871,153.70 to SDCWA for 2015-2017 Water Stewardship Rate charges under the Exchange Agreement and statutory interest.
2017	July 23, 2020	Dismissal without prejudice entered.
2018	April 11, 2022	Court entered order of voluntary dismissal of parties’ WaterFix claims and cross-claims.
2014, 2016, 2018	June 11, 2021	Deposition of non-party witness.
	Aug. 25	Hearing on Metropolitan’s motion for further protective order regarding deposition of non-party witness.
	Aug. 25	Court issued order consolidating the 2014, 2016, and 2018 cases for all purposes, including trial.
	Aug. 30	Court issued order granting Metropolitan’s motion for a further protective order regarding deposition of non-party witness.
	Aug. 31	SDCWA filed consolidated answer to Metropolitan’s cross-complaints in the 2014, 2016, and 2018 cases.
	Feb. 22	Metropolitan and SDCWA each filed motions for summary adjudication.
	April 13	Hearing on Metropolitan’s and SDCWA’s motions for summary adjudication.
	May 4	Court issued order granting Metropolitan’s motion for summary adjudication on cross-claim for declaratory relief that the conveyance facility owner, Metropolitan, determines fair compensation, including any offsetting benefits; and denying its motion on certain other cross-claims and an affirmative defense.
	May 11	Court issued order granting SDCWA’s motion for summary adjudication on cross-claim for declaratory relief in the 2018 case regarding lawfulness of the Water Stewardship Rate’s inclusion in the wheeling rate and transportation rates in 2019-2020; certain cross-claims and affirmative defenses on the ground that Metropolitan has a duty to charge no more than fair compensation, which includes reasonable credit for any offsetting benefits, with the court also stating that whether that duty arose and whether Metropolitan breached that duty are issues to be resolved at trial; affirmative defenses that SDCWA’s claims are untimely and SDCWA has not satisfied claims presentation requirements; affirmative defense in the 2018 case that SDCWA has not satisfied contract dispute resolution requirements; claim, cross-claims, and affirmative defenses regarding applicability of



Cases	Date	Status
2014, 2016, 2018 (cont.)		Proposition 26, finding that Proposition 26 applies to Metropolitan’s rates and charges, with the court also stating that whether Metropolitan violated Proposition 26 is a separate issue; and cross-claims and affirmative defenses regarding applicability of Government Code section 54999.7, finding that section 54999.7 applies to Metropolitan’s rates. Court denied SDCWA’s motion on certain other cross-claims and affirmative defenses.
	May 16-27	Trial occurred but did not conclude.
	June 3, June 24, July 1	Trial continued, concluding on July 1.
	June 24	SDCWA filed motion for partial judgment.
	July 15	Metropolitan filed opposition to motion for partial judgment.
	Aug. 19	Post-trial briefs filed.
	Sept. 14	Court issued order granting in part and denying in part SDCWA’s motion for partial judgment (granting motion as to Metropolitan’s dispute resolution, waiver, and consent defenses; denying motion as to Metropolitan’s reformation cross-claims and mistake of fact and law defenses; and deferring ruling on Metropolitan’s cost causation cross-claim).
	Sept. 21	Metropolitan filed response to order granting in part and denying in part SDCWA’s motion for partial judgment (requesting deletion of Background section portion relying on pleading allegations).
	Sept. 22	SDCWA filed objection to Metropolitan’s response to order granting in part and denying in part SDCWA’s motion for partial judgment.
	Sept. 27	Post-trial closing arguments.
	Oct. 20	Court issued order that it will rule on SDCWA’s motion for partial judgment as to Metropolitan’s cost causation cross-claim simultaneously with the trial statement of decision.
	Dec. 16	Parties filed proposed trial statements of decision.
	Dec. 21	SDCWA filed the parties’ stipulation and proposed order for judgment on Water Stewardship Rate claims for 2015-2020.
	Dec. 27	Court entered order for judgment on Water Stewardship Rate claims for 2015-2020 as proposed by the parties.
	March 14, 2023	Court issued tentative statement of decision (tentatively ruling in Metropolitan’s favor on all claims litigated at trial, except for those ruled to be moot based on the rulings in Metropolitan’s favor)



Cases	Date	Status
	March 14	Court issued amended order granting in part and denying in part SDCWA's motion for partial judgment (ruling that Metropolitan's claims for declaratory relief regarding cost causation are not subject to court review).
	March 29	SDCWA filed objections to tentative statement of decision
	April 3	Metropolitan filed response to amended order granting in part and denying in part SDCWA's motion for partial judgment (requesting deletion of Background section portion relying on pleading allegations).
	April 25	Court issued statement of decision (ruling in Metropolitan's favor on all claims litigated at trial, except for those ruled to be moot based on the rulings in Metropolitan's favor)
	Jan. 10, 2024	Parties filed joint status report and stipulated proposal on form of judgment
	Jan. 17	Court issued order approving stipulated proposal on form of judgment (setting briefing and hearing)
	April 3	Court entered final judgment
	April 3	Court issued writ of mandate regarding demand management costs
	April 3	SDCWA filed notice of appeal
	April 17	Metropolitan filed notice of cross-appeal
	May 3	Participating member agencies filed notice of appeal
	July 18	Hearing on prevailing party
All Cases	April 15, 2021	Case Management Conference on 2010-2018 cases. Court set trial in 2014, 2016, and 2018 cases on May 16-27, 2022.
	April 27	SDCWA served notice of deposition of non-party witness.
	May 13-14	Metropolitan filed motions to quash and for protective order regarding deposition of non-party witness.
	June 4	Ruling on motions to quash and for protective order.



Outside Counsel Agreements				
Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Albright, Yee & Schmit, APC	Employment Matter	211923	05/23	\$60,000
Andrade Gonzalez LLP	MWD v. DWR, CDFW and CDNR Incidental Take Permit (ITP) CESA/CEQA/Contract Litigation	185894	07/20	\$250,000
Aleshire & Wynder	Oil, Mineral and Gas Leasing	174613	08/18	\$50,000
Atkinson Andelson Loya Ruud & Romo	Employee Relations	59302	04/04	\$1,316,937
	Delta Conveyance Project Bond Validation-CEQA Litigation	185899	09/21	\$250,000
	MWD Drone and Airspace Issues	193452	08/20	\$50,000
	AFSCME Local 1902 in Grievance No. 1906G020 (CSU Meal Period)	201883	07/12/21	\$30,000
	AFSCME Local 1902 v. MWD, PERB Case No. LA-CE-1438-M	201889	09/15/21	\$20,000
	MWD MOU Negotiations**	201893	10/05/21	\$100,000
BDG Law Group, APLC	Gutierrez v. MWD	216054	03/24	\$100,000
Best, Best & Krieger	Bay-Delta Conservation Plan/Delta Conveyance Project (with SWCs)	170697	08/17	\$500,000
	Environmental Compliance Issues	185888	05/20	\$100,000
	Grant Compliance Issues	211921	05/23	\$75,000
	Pure Water Southern California	207966	11/22	\$100,000
	Progressive Design Build	216053	04/24	\$250,000
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens, Duffy & Prendergast, LLP	FCC and Communications Matters	110227	11/10	\$100,000
Buchalter, a Professional Corp.	Union Pacific Industry Track Agreement	193464	12/07/20	\$50,000



Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP	Real Property – General	180192	01/19	\$100,000
	Labor and Employment Matters	180207	04/19	\$75,000
	General Real Estate Matters	180209	08/19	\$200,000
	Rancho Cucamonga Condemnation Actions (Grade Separation Project)	207970	05/22	\$100,000
Law Office of Alexis S.M. Chiu*	Bond Counsel	200468	07/21	N/A
<u>Castañeda + Heidelman LLP</u>	<u>Employment Matter</u>	<u>216055</u>	<u>04/24</u>	<u>\$100,000</u>
Cislo & Thomas LLP	Intellectual Property	170703	08/17	\$100,000
Curls Bartling P.C.*	Bond Counsel	200470	07/21	N/A
Duane Morris LLP	SWRCB Curtailment Process	138005	09/14	\$615,422
Duncan, Weinberg, Genzer & Pembroke	Power Issues	6255	09/95	\$3,175,000
Ellison, Schneider, Harris & Donlan	Colorado River Issues	69374	09/05	\$175,000
	Issues re SWRCB	84457	06/07	\$200,000
Erin Joyce Law, PC	Employment Matter	216039	11/23	\$100,000
Greines, Martin, Stein & Richland LLP	SDCWA v. MWD	207958	10/22	\$100,000
	Colorado River Matters	207965	11/22	\$100,000
Haden Law Office	Real Property Matters re Agricultural Land	180194	01/19	\$50,000
Hanna, Brophy, MacLean, McAleer & Jensen, LLP	Workers' Compensation	211926	06/23	\$200,000
Hanson Bridgett LLP	SDCWA v. MWD	124103	03/12	\$1,100,000



Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
	Finance Advice	158024	12/16	\$100,000
	Deferred Compensation/HR	170706	10/17	\$500,000
	Tax Issues	180200	04/19	\$50,000
	Alternative Project Delivery (ADP)	207961	10/22	\$250,000
	Ad Valorem Property Taxes	216042	11/23	\$100,000
<u>Hausman & Sosa, LLP</u>	<u>Jones v. MWD</u>	<u>216056</u>	<u>05/24</u>	<u>\$100,000</u>
Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP*	Bond Counsel	193469	07/21	N/A
Hemming Morse, LLP	Baker Electric v. MWD	211933	08/23	\$100,000
Horvitz & Levy	SDCWA v. MWD	124100	02/12	\$1,250,000
	General Appellate Advice	146616	12/15	\$200,000
	Colorado River	203464	04/22	\$100,000
	Delta Conveyance Bond Validation Appeal	216047	03/24	\$25,000
	PFAS Multi-District Litigation – Appeal	216050	03/24	\$200,000
Innovative Legal Services, P.C.	Employment Matter	211915	01/19/23	\$125,000
Internet Law Center	Cybersecurity and Privacy Advice and Representation	200478	04/13/21	\$100,000
	Systems Integrated, LLC v. MWD	201875	05/17/21	\$100,000
Amira Jackmon, Attorney at Law*	Bond Counsel	200464	07/21	N/A
Jackson Lewis P.C.	Employment: Department of Labor Office of Contract Compliance	137992	02/14	\$45,000
Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corp*	Bond Counsel	200465	07/21	N/A
Kronenberger Rosenfeld, LLP	Systems Integrated, LLC v. MWD	211920	04/23	\$250,000



Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Kutak Rock LLP	Delta Islands Land Management	207959	10/22	\$10,000
Liebert Cassidy Whitmore	Labor and Employment	158032	02/17	\$240,821 \$229,724
	FLSA Audit	180199	02/19	\$50,000
	EEO Advice	216041	12/23	\$100,000
Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP	PFAS Multi-District Litigation	216048	03/24	\$100,000
Manatt, Phelps & Phillips	SDCWA v. MWD rate litigation	146627	06/16	\$4,400,000
	Raftelis-Subcontractor of Manatt, Agr. #146627: Per 5/2/22 Engagement Letter between Manatt and Raftelis, MWD paid Raftelis Financial Consultants, Inc.	Invoice No. 23949		\$56,376.64 for expert services & reimbursable expenses in SDCWA v. MWD
Marten Law LLP	PFAS Multi-District Litigation	216034	09/23	\$550,000 \$400,000
Meyers Nave Riback Silver & Wilson	Pure Water Southern California	207967	11/22	\$100,000
	PFAS Compliance Issues	207968	11/14/22	\$100,000
Miller Barondess, LLP	SDCWA v. MWD	138006	12/14	\$600,000
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius	SDCWA v. MWD	110226	07/10	\$8,750,000
	Project Labor Agreements	200476	04/21	\$100,000
Musick, Peeler & Garrett LLP	Colorado River Aqueduct Electric Cables Repair/Contractor Claims	193461	11/20	\$2,500,000
	Arvin-Edison v. Dow Chemical	203452	01/22	\$100,000
	Semitropic TCP Litigation	207954	09/22	\$75,000
Nixon Peabody LLP*	Bond Counsel [re-opened]	193473	07/21	\$100,000
	Special Finance Project	207960	10/22	\$50,000
Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP*	Bond Counsel	200466	07/21	N/A



Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Olson Remcho LLP	Government Law	131968	07/14	\$400,000
	Executive Committee/Ad Hoc Committees Advice	207947	08/22	\$60,000
	Public Records Act	207950	08/22	-\$54,000
	Advice/Assistance re Proposition 26/Election Issues	211922	05/23	\$100,000
Pearlman, Brown & Wax, L.L.P.	Workers' Compensation	216037	10/23	\$100,000
Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves & Savitch, LLP	CityWatch Los Angeles Public Records Act Request	216046	02/24	\$75,000
Rains Lucia Stern St. Phalle & Silver, PC	Employment Matter	211919	4/23	\$60,000
Renne Public Law Group, LLP	ACE v. MWD (PERB Case No. LA-CE-1574-M)	203466	05/22	\$100,000
	ACE v. MWD (PERB Case No. LA-CE-1611-M)	207962	10/22	\$50,000
	Employee Relations and Personnel Matters	216045	01/24	\$50,000
Ryan & Associates	Leasing Issues	43714	06/01	\$200,000
	Oswalt v. MWD	211925	05/23	\$100,000
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Claim (Contract #201897)	201897	11/04/21	\$350,000
	Claim (Contract #203436)	203436	11/15/21	\$350,000
	Claim (Contract #203454)	203454	01/22	\$210,000
	Reese v. MWD	207952	11/22	\$750,000
	General Labor/Employment Advice	211917	3/23	\$100,000
	Civil Rights Department Complaint	211931	07/23	\$100,000
	Crawford v. MWD	216035	09/23	\$100,000
	Tiegs v. MWD	216043	12/23	\$250,000



Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
	Zarate v. MWD	216044	01/24	\$250,000
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton	Rivers v. MWD	207946	07/22	-\$250,000
	Lorentzen v. MWD	216036	09/23	\$100,000
Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth*	Bond Counsel	200471	07/21	N/A
Theodora Oringher PC	Construction Contracts - General Conditions Update	185896	07/20	\$100,000
Thompson Coburn LLP	NERC Energy Reliability Standards	193451	08/20	\$300,000
Van Ness Feldman, LLP	General Litigation	170704	07/18	\$50,000
	Colorado River MSHCP	180191	01/19	\$50,000
	Bay-Delta and State Water Project Environmental Compliance	193457	10/15/20	\$50,000
	Colorado River Issues	211924	05/23	\$100,000

*Expenditures paid by Bond Proceeds/Finance

**Expenditures paid by another group